UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,658	01/24/2006	Masahiko Kubota	03500.102480.	5506
5514 7590 08/14/2009 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			DAHIMENE, MAHMOUD	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/565,658	KUBOTA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MAHMOUD DAHIMENE	1792		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE METERS THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-13 is/are pending in the appending of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the second	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See iion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/22/2009 has been entered.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 10, 12 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claims, the term "positive type photosensitive material" is not associated with a heating temperature, and therefor, does not have support in the specification. The specification disclose "A **positive type resist composite** that contains the above-described copolymer of methacrylic acid and

Application/Control Number: 10/565,658

Art Unit: 1792

methyl methacrylate as resin elements is employed as a solid layer formation material. The baking temperature is 120 to 150.degree. C." (page 44). The examiner notes that a positive type resist composite is not necessarily a positive type photosensitive material since the term resist can be applied to any masking material that prevents etching, the term resist usually refers to etch resistant materials not necessarily photosensitive, and, also, a positive type photosensitive material is not necessarily used resist material. The two expressions are not interchangeable.

Page 3

Page 12, line 8 of applicant's specification disclose "a **positive type photosensitive composite**, the resin element of which is a copolymer of methacrylic acid and **methacrylate ester**" no heating temperature is associated with this compound.

Page 20, line 3 of applicant's specification disclose "A **positive type <u>resist</u> composite** that contains the above-described copolymer of methacrylic acid and **methyl methacrylate** as resin elements is employed as a solid layer formation material" is associated with a heating temperature.

Applicant has not shown that the **positive type <u>photosensitive</u> composite is**the same as the positive type resist composite.

Art Unit: 1792

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 3, 5-9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Kubota et al. (EP 1380422) in view of Uozumi et al. (US 2004/0214945).

The applied reference has a common inventor and assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Kubota et al. (EP 1380422) discloses a method of manufacturing a liquid discharge head comprising the steps of;

forming a solid layer (203) for forming a flow path on a substrate (201) on which an energy generating element (202) is arranged to generate energy that is used to discharge liquid; forming, on the substrate where the solid layer is mounted, a coating layer for coating the solid layer (204);

forming a discharge port (209) used to discharge a liquid, through a photolithographic process, in the coating layer formed on the solid layer; and

removing the solid layer to form a flow path that communicates with the energy element and the discharge port,

Page 6

whereby a material used for the coating layer contains a cationically polymerizable chemical compound (paragraph 0042) such as epoxy resin, cationic photopolymerization initiator (paragraph 0043) and

whereby a material of the solid layer that forms a boundary with a portion where the discharge port of the coating layer is formed contains a copolymer of methacrylic acid (abstract) and methacrylate ester (paragraph 0028), which must be present a all boundaries of the resulting material. The methacrylic copolymer composite mainly containing methacrylic acid where a metacrylic acid unit is 2 to 30 wt% and molecular weight is 5,000 to 50,000Applicant's claimed range appears to overlap Kubota et al. (EP 1380422) disclosed ranges (abstract).

lonizing radiations are used at different wavelengths (paragraph 0018) **Xylene** is used (page 8)(paragraph 0049).

It is noted that Kubota is silent about the coating resin layer containing a cationically polymerizable resin and a basic material having a pair of non-shared electrons

Uozumi et al. (US 2004/0214945) teaches an epoxy resin containing cationically polymerizable composition that contain cationically polymerizable compounds and photopolymerization initiators are generally likely to thicken (paragraph 0007), and further teaches "methods for improving storage stability of oxetane compounds (which are cationically polymerizable compounds) and inhibiting the thickening and gelation of the compound caused by external factors such as heat: for example, a method in which p-methoxyphenol, hydroquinone, catechol, phenothiazine or cupferron is used as a

thermal polymerization inhibitor, a method in which a **basic compound** is used, and a method in which a straight-chain or branched-chain **amine** is used" (paragraph 0009). The amine compound of Uozumi has a pair of non-shared electrons.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Kubota to include oxetane compounds (which are cationically polymerizable compounds) and a basic compound such as an amine as the cationically polymerizable composition because Uozumi teaches such compounds are known to prevent thickening and gelation.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the process of Kubota to include oxetane compounds (which are cationically polymerizable compounds) and a basic compound such as an amine as the cationically polymerizable composition in order to inhibit the thickening and gelation of the compound caused by external factors such as heat, which in turn reduce manufacturing cost for allowing a longer shelf life.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Kubota et al. (EP 1380422) in view of Uozumi et al. (US 2004/0214945) as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Ikeda et al. (US 5,523,383).

It is noted that the cited prior art is silent about the heating temperature as required in applicant's claim 10.

Art Unit: 1792

Ikeda discloses a photocurable resin wherein "The binder polymer serves to shape the resin composition into a film and may mainly be an acrylic polymer which is prepared by the copolymerization of acrylic acid, an acrylate ester, **methacrylic acid**, a **methacrylate ester**, styrene etc. and has a molecular weight of several tens of thousand" are conventionally used as resin (column 1, line 57). Also "After the development, the remaining coating may be post-cured by the irradiation with UV rays or at a temperature of 120.degree. C. or above to thereby improve the resistance of the coating to etching." (column 10, line 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Kubota by heating the photosensitive material at a temperature of 120.degree. C. or above to thereby improve the resistance of the coating because Ikeda suggests such a step.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the process of Kubota by heating the photosensitive material at a temperature of 120.degree. C. or above in order to thereby improve the resistance of the coating because Ikeda suggests such a step.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 11, 12, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Kubota et al. (EP 1380422) in view of Uozumi et al. (US 2004/0214945) as applied to

Art Unit: 1792

claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of a second reference of Kubota et al. (US 2004/0072107).

It is noted that Kubota et al. (EP 1380422) is silent about diglyme and a heating temperature of 120 to 150 degree C.

The second reference of Kubota et al. (US 2004/0072107) teaches diglyme is conventionally used for the same type of material (paragraph 0109). And "baking is preferably executed at a temperature of 120 to 220.degree." (paragraph 0060) which overlaps applicant's claimed range. Overlapping ranges are held obvious.

The second reference of Kubota et al. (US 2004/0072107) teaches methyl isobutyl ketone is conventionally used for the same type of material (paragraph 0062)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Kubota et al. (EP 1380422) to include the diglyme of Kubota et al. (US 2004/0072107) because Kubota et al. (US 2004/0072107) teaches diglyme and methyl isobutyl ketoneare conventionally used for the same type of material.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the process of Kubota et al. (EP 1380422) to include the diglyme and methyl isobutyl ketone of Kubota et al. (US 2004/0072107) in order to allow easy application of the material onto the substrate.

Art Unit: 1792

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, filed 6/22/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of all pending claim(s) have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of the new amendments to the claims. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Uozumi et al. (US 2004/0214945) and Kubota et al. (US 2004/0072107).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAHMOUD DAHIMENE whose telephone number is (571)272-2410. The examiner can normally be reached on week days from 8:00 AM. to 5:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/Nadine G Norton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792